

II. REMARKS

The Examiner is requested to reconsider the application in view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.

Respectfully, and generally for the reasons set forth below, the objections and rejections and each ground therefor -- to the extent not rendered moot by the foregoing Amendment -- are traversed. Generally, it is believed that the amendment adds no new matter.

In paragraph 1 of the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to an informality in the specification. In response, the foregoing Amendment has addressed the informality.

In paragraph 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner has objected to claims 1 and 3 for informalities. In response, the foregoing Amendment has addressed the informalities.

In paragraph 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner has rejected all claims pursuant to 35 U.S.C. Sec. 103. The Examiner contends that the claims are obvious over Milstein in view of Yankee Gardner. In response, the Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection as being defective at least because Yankee Gardner has not been shown to be prior art.

The application, as amended, is believed to be in condition for allowance, and favorable action is requested. If the prosecution of this case can be in any way advanced by a telephone discussion, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned at (312) 240-0824.

Respectfully submitted,



Peter K. Trzylla
(Reg. No. 32,601)

Date: March 11, 2005

P.O. Box 7131
Chicago, IL 60680-7131

(312) 240-0824